

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/565,183	Applicant(s) VERMEIJ, PAUL	
	Examiner S. Devi, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:

(1) S. Devi (USPTO).

(2) Attorney William Blackstone.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2010

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant's amendment filed 02/02/2010.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All of record including claims 16 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The allowable and non-allowable subject matters were discussed. The need to cancel claims 16 and 24 and the need to delete the term 'said' from the version of the abstract filed 12/01/09 were indicated. Attorney Blackstone authorized the Examiner of record to issue an Examiner's amendment.

/S. Devi/
Primary Examiner
AU 1645

May, 2010